## RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

## FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Taken before SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large, at
Building 215, Fort McClellan, Alabama, on the 16th day
of April, 2001, commencing at approximately 6:30 p.m.

REPORTER'S INDEX	
CAPTION SHEET 1	
REPORTER'S INDEX 2	
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD 3-71	

SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES

1	DR. BARRY COX: Okay, if we could,
2	let's go ahead and get started. I want to thank all
3	of our visitors for coming. And as we always do, go
4	around the room and ask all the non-members to
5	introduce themselves. Of course, you're a member.
6	MR. SHANNON GOLDEN: I'm
7	Shannon Golden. I work with ADEM.
8	MR. BILL GARLAND: Bill Garland
9	with the U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service.
10	MS. DIANE WILKERSON: Diane
11	Wilkerson with the RAB.
12	MR. ELLIS POPE: Ellis Pope with
13	the Corps of Engineers, Mobile.
14	MR. JOE DOYLE: Joe Doyle, Legal
15	Office here at the Garrison.
16	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Joan McKinney
17	with the RAB.
18	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: Wanda
19	Champion, concerned citizen of Calhoun County.
20	MS. KAREN PINSON: Karen Pinson,
21	Transition Force, Environmental Office.
22	MR. BOB DAFFRON: Bob Daffron,
23	Fort McClellan Army National Guard Training Center.

1	MR. BILL SHANKS: Bill Shanks,
2	Transition Force, Environmental Office.
3	MS. LISA KINGSBURY: Lisa
4	Kingsbury, Transition Force, Environmental Office.
5	MR. JOE KUDNEY: Joe Kudney,
6	(phonetic), Parsons.
7	DR. BARRY COX: I want to thank all
8	of y'all for coming. And as we always do at our
9	meetings, as soon as we come to the end of the
10	meeting, then we'll have a chance for all the members
11	of the audience who wish to make comments.
12	Let me call the roll now.
13	Mr. Hood? Mr. Beckett?
14	MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Here.
15	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Branchfield?
16	Mr. Buford?
17	MR. JAMES BUFORD: Here.
18	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Clendenin?
19	MR. CLENDENIN: Here.
20	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Conroy?
21	Mr. Cunningham?
22	MR. DON CUNNINGHAM: Here.

DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Elser?

MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: So moved.

MR. JAMES BUFORD: Second.

minutes? Do I hear a motion for the approval of the

20

21

minutes?

1	DR. BARRY COX: All in favor?
2	Motion carried.
3	As far as the I guess
4	MR. RON LEVY: In terms of old
5	business, anybody have any questions based on what was
6	briefed last week from the CWM EECA, additional
7	information you're looking for? Prepared to take any
8	of those.
9	DR. BARRY COX: No comments? Okay.
10	Going to new business. The LUCAP
11	discussion, is that next on the agenda there, sir?
12	MR. RON LEVY: Yeah, we can do
13	that. Do you want to ask Miki about
14	DR. BARRY COX: Is he here?
15	MR. RON LEVY: No, he's not.
16	DR. BARRY COX: If he's not here,
17	then we can't introduce him.
18	MR. RON LEVY: Today we are going
19	to give you an overview of the land use control
20	assurance plan and our LUCIPs, our interim LUCIPs and
21	what those mean.
22	In the past, we have mailed out
23	copies of the LUCAP and you've also seen a copy of the

	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 7
1	land use control implementation plan for T-38.
2	Karen Pinson, from my office, has got some slides that
3	she wants to go through, just to make sure everybody
4	really understands what the LUCAP's about and what
5	we're doing to implement that requirement. Karen,
6	I'll bring your slides up here.
7	MS. KAREN PINSON: Okay. You're
8	going to work
9	MR. RON LEVY: Yeah, just tell me
10	when. Everybody should have a copy of Karen's slides
11	in front of you, but we'll put these up on the
12	MS. KAREN PINSON: As Ron said, we
13	mailed you a copy of our land use control assurance
14	plan, as well as a copy of the land use control
15	implementation plan for a parcel of land we have here
16	on Fort McClellan. And what I want to do is just kind
17	of go through both of those documents.
18	But in order to get started, I need
19	to talk to you about land use controls first so you
20	kind of understand the rest of what we're going to
21	talk about. Obviously, the purpose of land use

controls is to restrict the use of or limit access to

property, thereby serving to reduce or prevent risk to

22

1	human health and the environment. And we're going to
2	abbreviate human health and the environment HHE, so
3	any time I say that or you see that, that's what that
4	stands for.
5	The federal law allows land use
5	controls to be used as components of remedies, but

controls to be used as components of remedies, but they're not to be used as a substitute for an active response measure, such as treatment or containment or considered active response measures. So, the land use control would be used as components of remedies.

The Army's desire is to transfer all property with as few encumbrances as possible.

And land use controls would be one type of encumbrance. But in order to protect human health and the environment, we may have to impose some land use controls on certain properties that are transferred.

We will be determining those things as we go along in our investigation processes.

Land use controls have long been used in property law. And I've given you an example in a non-environmental context, the prohibition of those large TV satellite dishes in planned communities, they're prohibited in certain areas.

1	I divided the land use controls
2	into three different types. The first is physical.
3	These are engineered controls, and they will either
4	contain or reduce contamination or limit access to
5	property. And types of engineered controls and
6	landfill caps are fences, security guards, signs,
7	things likes that.
8	So, they're not always intricate or
9	difficult to understand. Sometimes it's as simple as
10	a sign. Legal land use controls are mechanisms that
11	are imposed to ensure continued effectiveness of land
12	use restrictions imposed as part of the remedial
13	decision. And these are sometimes referred to as
14	institutional controls.
15	I've listed a few types of legal
16	controls or institutional controls. These are not all
17	of them, but all of the ones that could be used.
18	But restricted covenants would restrict the use of
19	ground water, meaning that we might restrict the
20	drilling of the wells that would be used for drinking
21	water.
22	Negative easements prohibit a
23	lawful use of land, such as creating a restriction on

1	certain types of developments. And deed notices
2	provide information regarding use restrictions, such
3	as whether a certain piece of property would be
4	limited to non-residential use. Another type of land
5	use control is is that it one more.
6	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: One more.
7	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: That's it.
8	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: There.
9	MS. KAREN PINSON: Thank you.
10	Administrative, which are
11	governmental controls that are within the traditional
12	police powers of the state, like zoning laws, citing
13	restrictions. You know, there are certain
14	restrictions that you put in, development in flood
15	plains, things like that.
16	Construction permitting or local
17	land use plans. Our JPA plan has determined that
18	certain areas of this Fort will be used for certain
19	types of development or reuse.
20	Now, to go on to the LUCAP, this is
21	one of the documents that we mailed to you. It's land
22	use control assurance plan. And EPA Region Four has a
23	policy on LUCAPs. And they require detailed, written

1	land use control assurance plan that will be designed
2	to ensure the effectiveness or and reliability of
3	any land use controls for as long as the land use
4	control continues to be needed.

ADEM's supports the EPA Region Four policy, therefore, the JPA, EPA, ADEM, and the Army drafted a memorandum of agreement -- which is our land use control assurance plan -- and laid out how we would implement the procedures to ensure our long-term effectiveness of our land use controls.

So, the citing parties agreed to place land use controls on sites that did not meet residential reuse requirements. And the purpose of the LUCAP, as you can see, is to implement procedures to ensure the long-term effectiveness of the land use controls and raise visibility of the land use controls within the community and among the future owners of the property out here.

MR. RON LEVY: Let me point out something here. LUCAPs and land use controls are going on across the country on military base closings because it's something that adds to the ability to transfer property and get it into the hands of the

1	community as soon as possible by restricting property
2	to the point where it does not impact human health or
3	the environment and still allowing the property to be
4	developed on the part of the community with
5	restrictions. So, it is a big, big effort within
6	Department of Defense and the Army to help transfer
7	and get property immediately into the hands of the
8	community.
9	MS. KAREN PINSON: We'll talk about
10	a couple of types of land use controls. They are
11	similar but just different in the way the the way
12	they're administered. Interim land use controls are
13	established while the Army still owns the property.
14	And they may already be in place, things such as signs
15	or fences, a fence around the Army property, around
16	the installation is one type of land use control
17	that's already in place.
18	They are established prior to
19	remedy prior to completion of the investigation and
20	prior to a remedy decision. They are protective of
21	human health and the environment and the investigation

process that's ongoing until the remedy decision is

22

reached.

1	The Army manages interim land use
2	controls as opposed to future transferees potentially
3	managing those land use controls. After the
4	investigation is complete, the interim land use
5	controls may change, based upon the remedy selections.
6	Final land use controls are based
7	upon remedy selection and they are specified in the
8	decision document like a regular decision or another
9	type of decision document. They are required to be
10	based upon reasonably anticipated future land use
11	assumption, where the interim land use control is not
12	required to be based upon future land use. They are,
13	as I said, most of the time, they're already in place,
14	due to hazards that may be at a site and may be known
15	during before the investigation is completed.
16	The Army and the transferee will
17	negotiate the management of the final land use
18	controls. And over time, those final land use
19	controls can be modified as as the status of
20	contamination decreases, the land use control may be
21	modified, reduced, or removed altogether.
22	The LUCAP states that LUCIPs
23	document land use control, either interim or final.

1	So, the LUCIP is sort of a record of the land use
2	control. LUCIPs are prepared for sites where land use
3	controls are necessary. They're prepared by the Army
4	in consultation with the JPA or another third party
5	that may be involved, such as the Fish & Wildlife
6	Service. They are viewed by ADEM and EPA. They are
7	shared with the RAB, which is what we have done in
8	mailing you this first interim LUCIP and in discussing
9	it tonight. And they must include certain elements
10	that are required in the LUCAP. And I'll go over
11	these elements.
12	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Karen, define
13	what LUCIP stands for.
14	MS. KAREN PINSON: Land use control
15	implementation plan. And like I said, it's just the
16	plan that documents the land use controls that are in
17	place. Thanks, Miki.
18	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: On an
19	individual site?
20	MS. KAREN PINSON: On an individual
21	site, yeah.
22	The elements that are required on a
23	LUCAP, the LUCIP will clearly define the boundaries

	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 15
1	for the land use controls of the site. Now, this is
2	more important in a final land use control
3	implementation plan than it is in the interim plan
4	that we are going to be looking at tonight. The
5	boundaries must be clearly defined. And usually that
6	would involve GIS and GPS points or meets and bounds
7	survey, something of that nature.
8	But on the interim land use
9	controls, because the Army still owns the property, we
10	have a little more leeway in defining those boundaries
11	for the land use controls at the site.
12	The second element is to identify
13	each land use control objective with cross-reference
14	to the applicable decision of source documents. In
15	this LUCIP that we looked at tonight, we've pretty
16	much included all of the source documents that were
17	that had been used to get to this point in the
18	investigation process. The source documents would be
19	things like the environmental baseline survey or any
20	site investigations that we might have done. An EE/CA
21	would be considered a source document.

The third element, specify actions or land use controls required to achieve each

1	objective and specify procedures to implement and
2	maintain the land use controls. Specify the Army
3	retain that the Army would retain the right of
4	entry into the site to make sure the land use controls
5	are maintained.
6	Next element, specify the frequency
7	of monitoring and reporting requirements. The LUCIP
8	will use, where practical, future owner occupants
9	self-policing and reporting. All of these are in your
10	LUCAP that was sent. But we just wanted to go over
11	them tonight with you.
12	Specify who is responsible for
13	monitoring, maintaining, and enforcing land use
14	controls, with the understanding that the Army that
15	the Army remains ultimately responsible for its
16	remedies. Identify enforcement options if the land
17	use control is violated. Address a means for reducing
18	or removing land use controls. And then any
19	additional information that we might see fit to
20	include in the land use control implementation plan.
21	The land use control assurance plan
22	has four appendices. And appendix A is sites that
23	require land use controls, either interim or final.

would be -- Philip is the signing party for -- or the

point of contact for ADEM, and Doyle for EPA, Ron for

the Army, and Miki for JPA. And we update that

21

22

1	appendix piece D on a regular basis, about once a
2	year, to make sure that we're still current there.
3	The LUCAP also laid out a bunch of
4	time lines for when each appendix had to be completed.
5	The time lines for the review periods of the LUCIPs.
6	And there are quite a number of
7	time lines. I won't go through them tonight, but I do
8	have a printed copy of those time lines that I made up
9	from the LUCAP. If anybody is interested, I'll be
10	glad to give you a copy.
11	Now, I wanted to talk about the
12	interim LUCIP for CERFA parcel 186. We mailed the
13	LUCIP to you. And you may want to take a look at your
14	copy right now, because I wanted to go over the map
15	briefly.
16	CERFA parcel 186 is this entire
17	area that's sort of a rust colored. And the rust
18	color indicates that it's a category a CERFA
19	category six parcel. CERFA is Comprehensive
20	Environmental Response Facilitation Act.
21	MR. RON LEVY: Hang on a second,
22	Karen.
23	MS. KAREN PINSON: These parcels,

1	numbers and labels, were set up in the environmental
2	baseline survey, so
3	MR. RON LEVY: Karen, hang on a
4	second. We've got a lot of new members, so you're
5	probably not familiar with these parcel designation
6	numbers. But just to go back for you briefly, in
7	terms of what the Army's done, the Army created an
8	environmental baseline survey, most members, at least
9	the older members, have had copies, have had access to
10	that document.
11	But it identifies property that
12	either is known contamination or some level of
13	clean-up has been done and it's at a certain level of
14	it's at a certain level of clean-up or it's been
15	remediated already or it's unknown. And there is
16	certain CERFA categories that are defined in the law
17	that we have to identify property based upon these
18	categories one through seven.
19	A category seven parcel is one
20	where we it's unknown. Category six is a parcel
21	where we have contamination, known contamination.
22	T-38 happens to be one of those sites where we have

known contamination, ground water contamination. And

1	it's identified in the environmental baseline survey.
2	So, when you hear the word CERFA
3	parcel, every single parcel on Fort McClellan where
4	we're investigating or have known contamination, has a
5	parcel number. And you can track those parcel numbers
6	and you can cross-reference them in documents. It
7	helps to identify what's been done. And you'll see
8	all of our reports are tracked based on a parcel, as
9	well.
10	Now, be careful, because you'll
11	hear a parcel talked about also from a real estate
12	term in terms of transfer. So, a CERFA parcel is
13	different from a parcel of property that may be
14	transferred. And they're almost going to be two
15	different terms there.
16	But what Karen's reflecting here is
17	a parcel that's been designated 186, bowleg 6, also
18	known as T-38, where we've got contamination, where an
19	interim LUCIP is in place.
20	Sorry, Karen.
21	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: May I? Would
22	most of this documentation be in our repositories?
23	MR. RON LEVY: Yes.

1	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: For use by the
2	public? I wanted to kind of remind them that
3	MR. RON LEVY: Absolutely. The
4	documentation is in the repositories. And in some
5	cases where we're looking for, we're asking the RAB to
6	comment on, you also get copies of those documents.
7	So, in the past, for those of you who are new members,
8	the RAB had decided to create a technical review
9	committee, to help review documents that were very
10	technical in nature. And there were several members
11	that were designated on that technical review
12	committee.
13	So, the information is there.
14	Certainly, anybody who wants to see anything outside
15	of the technical review committee, just needs to ask
16	and we'll provide it to them.
17	Go ahead, Karen.
18	MS. KAREN PINSON: Okay. Back to
19	this map. This entire area is the CERFA parcel 186.
20	It's about a hundred and sixty acres. There is a
21	little rectangle inside that's about a six acre site
22	that we call training area T-38.
23	Just to point out a couple of other

1	things on this map real quick, we're showing some JPA
2	real estate parcels, also. The M-5, this is what the
3	JPA has designated as M-5 parcel on this side of the
4	on the CERFA parcel, and then M-1.11 is on the
5	other side of the CERFA parcel.

One more thing I wanted to tell you, because we'll -- I'll bring this up in the discussion. The northern part of this parcel, CERFA parcel, falls in the land that is proposed to be transferred to the Department of Justice. And so we've got a little piece of our CERFA parcel 186 right up here that actually falls in the proposed property for the Department of Justice.

And that just comes into play when we talk about land use controls. T-38 was -- the Army used it to store and train and reportedly dispose of some chemical warfare material, decontamination solutions, and other types of training chemicals.

There is also a probable low unexploded ordnance density area within this CERFA parcel, due to the proximity of a World War I artillery impact area. I don't think we know the boundaries of that, yet. But we are conducting an

1	ordnance	and	explosives	investigation	under	our	Alpha
2	area EE/.	.CA.					

We're going to, I think, briefly,
talk about OE EE/CA again tonight and show you on a
map the areas that are involved. But we'll be
investigating ordnance and explosives for a couple of
areas right down here known as smoke areas R and S.
And within the OE investigation for these areas, these
two areas here, we'll try to determine any -- if there
is any UXO within the area up here. But again, it's a
low probability for UXO to be found up in this area.

The goals of the interim land use

controls that we're -- again, the Army is retaining control of this piece of property, so these are interim land use controls. The goal is to restrict public access to protect the public, human health, and the environment, and to restrict redevelopment during the Army's investigations of the sites.

The interim land use controls are instituted pre-remedy decisions. Means there's not -- a CERCLA investigation is not yet complete. These interim land use controls are not required to be based upon anticipated future land use. They are just, as I

1	said before, mostly, they are land use controls that
2	the Army already has in place. The final remedy
3	decision for the site may change the land use controls
4	that we're that we have instituted at this point.
5	This LUCIP for the CERFA parcel 186
6	just documents the interim land use controls that are
7	in place. There are physical the physical controls
8	are fence, there is a fence around the former T-38
9	training area. T-38, that's that six acre parcel
10	right inside. There's a fence around that site.
11	There has been a fence for a long time. I don't know
12	how long.
13	There are signs posted on that
14	fence. There are UXO what we call UXO gates,
15	unexploded ordnance gates, around the installation to
16	keep people from inadvertently going into some of the
17	range areas where we're doing OE, ordnance and
18	explosive investigations.
19	One of our UXO gates is right here,
20	indicated right here on this map. But there are
21	others around the post that would prevent people from
22	entering the site from another route.
23	There are wooden barricades on the

1	post, just these sawhorse-type barricades, that are
2	put there to keep people out. They're there for a
3	reason. And there is a dirt barricade down here at
4	this lower portion of this road. There's a dirt
5	barricade to keep people out. And then we have
6	security guards who constantly inspect the UXO gates
7	and the barricades and the site and so, we those
8	are our physical land use controls.
9	We have the legal land use

left -- is enforced?

We have -- the legal land use controls that we have is the Army is retaining ownership of the site, except for the small portion I showed you that's going to be -- that is planned for the transfer to the Department of Justice.

Are there any questions on the

LUCIP for T-38 or the land use control assurance plan?

MAYOR KIMBROUGH: How does this

play with the zoning laws? Since this is in the City

of Anniston, then is this coordinated with the City of

Anniston, as far as their zoning laws? And number

two, when the JPA is gone and everybody is gone -- I

know it says in there who will be responsible, but who

will be responsible for making sure if any of this is

1	MR. JOE DOYLE: I'll answer that,
2	if it's okay. When we're ready to transfer, if as
3	part of the final remedy, there is a restriction
4	that's placed on the land, then we will approach the
5	City of Anniston, asking for if that is a method
6	that we determine it to be appropriate to restrict the
7	use on that land, we will go in and ask them for a
8	zoning or ordinance with regard to that piece of
9	property.
10	But again, that's only we are
11	not going to go the zoning route with regard to any of
12	the interim LUCs, at this point in time. There is no
13	reason to. It's under our control, so we can you
14	know, we'll manage it and we'll take care of it.
15	Now, with regard to monitoring it,
16	that's an open-ended question, at this point, sir.
17	Obviously, from the Army's perspective, we think that
18	the land owner is the appropriate party to do the
19	monitoring, self-policing with regard to it.
20	Now, of course, JPA takes a
21	different position on that. They feel that that
22	impinges on their ability to market the property. And
23	understandably so. So, there is a divergence there.

1	But we haven't reached that point. You know, while we
2	can say, well, we've got a difference of opinion
3	there, we're not to the point where we've got to final
4	remedy selection, so we're not sure.
5	And, of course, we can't say that
6	the U. S. Army Garrison is going to be the one,
7	because obviously, six, five, four, however many
8	years, we're going to be gone. So now we but there
9	is different alternatives that we're presented with.
10	Assuming for the moment if the Army
11	were to do it, well, maybe the Corps of Engineers,
12	maybe contracting out, maybe paying ADEM has
13	already stated that under certain circumstances, they
14	are they are managing land use controls at other
15	sites. That's a potential there, so but the bottom
16	line is, is that with the implementation of a LUC as a
17	final remedy on a piece of property, we can't walk
18	away from it. Somebody is going to have to pick up
19	that responsibility.
20	Now, the bottom line is is that the
21	Army will probably talk to Doyle will have the
22	ultimate responsibility. You know, from the

23 perspective of monitoring it, well, obviously, if

1	we're not physically present here, we would much
2	rather see that report coming from a land owner or
3	whatever, whether it be for example, let's say we
4	cap a landfill. Well, it might be nothing more on
5	that land use control than quarterly, somebody go out
6	and visually inspect to make sure nobody is punching
7	any holes through that cap.
8	And whether or not the land owner
9	does that, reports back to the Army, who ultimately
10	reports it to the EPA, we're not quite sure. But the
11	bottom line is, we obviously, initialize some of
12	your fears is that we can't walk away from it.
13	Somebody has to have that responsibility. And the
14	ultimate responsibility is most likely, from EPA and
15	ADEM's perspective, is going to fall upon the Army.
16	MR. JERRY HOPPER: Wouldn't that
17	also become a a land use restriction, as a
18	permanent remedy, become a condition of the deed, a
19	deed requirement
20	MR. JOE DOYLE: Yes.
21	MR. JERRY HOPPER: on the
22	transfer?
23	MR. JOE DOYLE: Yes.

1	MR. DON CUNNINGHAM: Joe, how would
2	that compare with the Solutia storage site on 202,
3	somewhat similar?
4	MR. JOE DOYLE: You know, I really
5	I can't answer that question.
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's
7	(inaudible). Somewhat similar.
8	MR. JOE DOYLE: But, you know, the
9	whole concept behind a land use control is is that you
10	don't have one control out there, that it's layered.
11	So, for example and I'll pick on we'll pick on a
12	landfill. Let's say there is a cap up there. Well,
13	obviously, beyond a restriction in the deed, we would
14	also like to see a zoning restriction on that, so it's
15	layered, okay, as well as a reporting requirement, so
16	that when we feel that, okay, worst casing it,
17	somebody does go out there and do something stupid,
18	well, with the layering concept, we have a bunch of
19	enforcement mechanisms, as well as hopefully,
20	mechanisms that will quickly find out that somebody is
21	doing something in contravention to the deed or zoning
22	or whatever it might be.

DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else?

1	MS. KAREN PINSON: I just wanted to
2	quickly go over one more thing that you have handed
3	out tonight. It's the LUCAP final initial appendix A.
4	I told you the appendix A would be a list of the sites
5	that require land use controls. And this appendix A
6	will change from time to time. Right now our initial
7	appendix A includes only the sites that require
8	interim land use controls, those controls that are put
9	in place pre-remedy decision that the Army will
10	manage. The Army will manage these controls.
11	The first twelve sites are landfill
12	areas or fill areas. And we anticipate at this point
13	the interim land use control for those sites would be
14	signs. We have one fill area that is fenced, but the
15	rest of them we anticipate only signs at this point.
16	We have not written the land use
17	control implementation plan for those, yet. So, we'll
18	and, of course, we will provide you a copy of that
19	draft plan when we write it.
20	The next four sites are our ranges
21	where we are conducting ordnance and explosive
22	investigations. And this map over here on the far
23	right wall shows you the areas where the UXO or OE

1	EE/CAs, engineering evaluation cost analyses
2	MR. RON LEVY: Bill is going to
3	we're going to get into that, Karen, further on.
4	MS. KAREN PINSON: Right. And I'm
5	not going to talk about it. But we're dividing up our
6	LUCIPs into the four areas that we'll have that as
7	the EE/CA is divided. I think they've actually put
8	another EE/CA area in now for the bypass. So, those
9	we'll have for more interim land use control plans
10	based on those areas in that EE/CA.
11	And then we've got another training
12	area where we've had some chemical training. It's
13	area T-24-A. So, we'll have a land use control plan
14	on that for interim land use controls.
15	As the BRAC clean-up team goes
16	through the investigations and as the as remedies
17	are reached for some of these investigations, we will
18	possibly have some sites, most likely we'll have some
19	sites that will require some final land use controls.
20	We will add those sites to this appendix A, as they
21	occur. That's about all I have.
22	DR. BARRY COX: Any questions or

comments? Karen, we appreciate that.

SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 31

1	And I guess we'll go now on to the
2	agencies report. Philip, you want to start with
3	ADEM's?
4	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Pass these out
5	here. I was gone a lot this last time and Shannon
6	filled in for me last time, vacation, but also I
7	attended an unexploded ordnance conference down in New
8	Orleans. And I felt pretty good getting out of that
9	conference and feeling like we're not too far off the
10	mark in any direction we're going with unexploded
11	ordnance.
12	There are sixteen reports there
13	have come in since March 21st. Now, this is before
14	drafts and the rest are finals. I think we have five
15	that are still pending here.
16	Those are pretty substantial
17	reports that are pending. And a lot of the ones that
18	are marked April 13th were decided on during the BCT.
19	We have a real crunch course for two days here, really
20	pounding these reports out during the BCT. And we
21	made decisions that go to final here, which is really
22	helped speed up the process of some of this review.
23	That's why you see so many on well, on April 13th.

1	But these finals are reviewed and
2	looked at, for any other grammatical errors or things
3	like that may go out. But you can see that we're just
4	pumping out a report a day here, just about it. It's
5	real intense and a lot's going on. And again, with
6	Parsons being here, really kicking in gear. We are
7	busy. We're swamped.
8	And also the eastern bypass kicking
9	in full gear. And now we're seeing these reviews of
10	the draft the draft work plans for and final
11	work plans for Alpha, Bravo, and Charlie.
12	So, yes, this is intense. If y'all
13	have any questions, please, feel free to E-mail me or
14	call me, and then we can discuss them one at a time
15	or, you know, I can pull them out. But this still
16	shows the sheer load we're dealing with.
17	I didn't get these on I was
18	absent since last time and I was very busy. I
19	apologize for not getting these on the map, but we'll
20	have these on the map again next time. I didn't want
21	to burden the Army. They were extraordinarily busy in
22	last month, also. They're doing me a favor by putting
23	this on the map. We'll have it next time.

1	Anything else? Any questions on
2	this? If you didn't get any, we'll make another copy
3	here.
4	DR. BARRY COX: Any comments?
5	Appreciate that.
6	Doyle, you want to go for EPA?
7	MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: I've been the
8	EPA representative here now for about four months, and
9	a lot of my time has been spent trying to come up to
10	speed, myself, as far as to basically what the
11	situation is here. But anyhow, I feel like that
12	during this period of time, we have done a lot of
13	work. The first page there is basically a summary of
14	everything that's in the rest of this. And this shows
15	for each month the number of times that I've made a
16	trip over here for meetings. And I think I have April
17	down there, showing some additional meetings. I'm not
18	sure that that's correct. I think those should be
19	May. But we've got project team meetings and on-board
20	review meetings and RAB meetings that trying to go to.
21	As Phil mentioned there a minute
22	ago, there has been a lot of documents come through.
23	Some of these are not necessarily wary short. Center

1	of the page there shows the number of documents that
2	we've either reviewed and commented on or approved
3	each month.
4	And again, the documents on hand
5	for review, it shows that I've got twenty-eight. I
6	think that shows that we're going to have those later
7	in April. Again, I think that's a mistake. I think
8	that should be May.
9	The next page there actually shows
10	the specifics, as far as the meetings that I have come
11	to. And then the rest of this document basically
12	shows you the documents that have been reviewed or
13	commented on each month. And then on the last page
14	there shows the documents that I have on hand or I
15	guess starting on page five, bottom of the page
16	there, these are documents that I hope that we'll get
17	to during this on-board review that we have coming up.
18	And even though I said in this
19	right here I think it's April, I think it's going to
20	be in May that we'll sit down and do the review around
21	the table on that and then follow it up with letters
22	later on.
23	So, I get these out of the way, we

1	should be caught up. But this basically is not
2	intended to make sense to you, because a lot of these
3	are just specific documents or specific areas. But
4	basically, to show you the volume of the work that we
5	are doing here, give you a little bit of appreciation
6	for that.
7	So, that's all that I have.
8	DR. BARRY COX: Any questions of
9	Doyle? Anybody have any questions?
10	You going to be next?
11	MR. RON LEVY: All the stuff we do
12	we're going to have to start taking our name out of
13	there, because all of the stuff we do is what's
14	actually in the new business.
15	The documents obviously that EPA
16	and the State are reviewing are put together by the
17	Army and submitted to them for review, so the same
18	work that's being done by EPA and ADEM, is actually
19	coming from the Army. And there is a lot of material
20	they're having to go through. I mean, it's
21	significant, in terms of clean-up.
22	So, it takes a lot of time and a
2.3	lot of effort to focus on reviews and make sure that

1	the Army, either from a sampling standpoint or from a
2	procedural standpoint, is doing what they're supposed
3	to be doing. And that's what the State and, I guess,
4	ADEM and EPA are doing for to us from on oversight
5	standpoint.

A lot of comments that come in, we have to -- as we get the comments and they come in, we have to address the comments. If it means changing the document or changing a plan or some sort of work, then we do that and then we respond. Generally, we respond in the meetings. Or through -- and through a formal process of what we did or what we're attempting to do to meet their comments. All their comments are generally from a regulatory nature, but they'll -- we'll look at other things, as well, to ensure what we're doing is in accordance with the law.

I think what I would like to point out to you here is that a lot of documents are going back and forth between the regulatory agencies and the Army. A lot of these documents you haven't seen, because there has not been -- in a lot of cases, there's not been -- we're not getting to a decision on documents, yet. Or we're getting to a decision, but

1	it's a no-further-action decision, which means it just
2	drops out. So, from a community's perspective, there
3	isn't a contaminant there to look at. And you're not
4	looking at what the Army is going to propose from a
5	remedy standpoint.

We will shortly be showing you documents for review, because we are required by law to comment on and to participate in, in terms of your thoughts and your views about clean-up. The documents that we've been talking about that are still coming are the EE/CAs for remedies that we're taking for ranges along Iron Mountain Road and other EE/CAs, which are required by law for us to present to the public, which the RAB is part of the public, to comment on.

Landfills or fill areas, there is an EE/CA being done on that. The EE/CAs that you're going to hear about, which are not complete, yet, but on the Alpha, Charlie, and Bravo areas, those are EE/CAs that will be open for the public to review and the RAB to comment on. And we'll try to present formal presentations done in a manner which you can understand the technical nature of what it is we're

1	showing. The CWM EE/CA will become a public document.
2	And we'll ask for comments and review, as well.
3	Those things are still coming.
4	They're down the line. We briefed time lines on some
5	of those in the past, but we're not prepared to bring
6	you into that process.
7	So, a lot of what you're seeing
8	here from document reviews on the part of EPA and the
9	State, some of those will move forward. A lot of
10	these are dropping out, in terms of what we're
11	finding. We're not finding a whole lot.
12	The big ones that I just mentioned
13	to you are significant and we'll be looking for
14	reviews and comments back to us from the community and
15	from the RAB.
16	DR. BARRY COX: Any comments?
17	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: What's the
18	deadline on the landfill EE/CA?
19	MR. RON LEVY: There isn't a
20	deadline. We just came through a review, an internal
21	Army review on that document. It just went out to
22	or actually, it hasn't even gone out to the regulatory
23	agencies. We're still internally reviewing the

1	document.
2	We expect to get it out to the
3	regulatory agencies. Once they get their chance to
4	comment and respond back to the Army, then we'll get
5	it out to the public for their input. And we'll do a
6	formal presentation to the RAB to talk about all the
7	fill area sites on the installation and what we're
8	proposing to do to those fill area sites and then take
9	your comments back.
10	So, like I said, EPA and the State
11	have not seen that. We're still internally working
12	it.
13	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: For some
14	reason I had in the back of my mind it was April, and
15	I was just trying to see if we were still
16	MR. RON LEVY: It's been pushed.
17	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: It's been
18	pushed?
19	MR. RON LEVY: Yeah, it's been
20	pushed. We're still not done with it, internally.
21	We've got a lot of internal comments to address that
22	we're still working on.

DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else have a

1	question?
2	MR. RON LEVY: I guess the answer
3	is: I don't have a specific date for you, Miki.
4	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else?
5	Miki, do you want to go on for the JPA?
6	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Sure. We have
7	a new executive director at the JPA. He was going to
8	come with me tonight, he had a conflict. He's being
9	pulled in many different directions, as you can well
10	imagine, being the new man, but I hope to bring him
11	with me next month. His name is Dan Cleckler. He
12	came to us from the Office of Economic he worked
13	for the governor in economic development. He had been
14	at East Alabama Regional Planning.
15	We're real excited. He's, so far,
16	hit the ground running and trying to learn the list of
17	acronyms. I was pleased to see this list here
18	tonight. I'm going to take that and share that with
19	him. I'm sure he'll appreciate it.
20	The other good news at the JPA is

the lawsuit which we had been encumbered with since the end of the year is now settled and we are on our way to moving property. And we did so just last week

21

22

1	when	we	blos	M-2
_	WIICII	VV C	DOTA	11 4.

Thanks to the Army, EPA, ADEM, for all your hard work on that. It paid off. It was the first property, twenty-three acres sold back -- put into the community to create jobs.

As all of you know, The Anniston

Star will be building a fifty-six thousand square foot state-of-the-art printing press and putting all of their other offices in that one location. So, we're real excited about that.

This week at our board meeting, our board -- we hope to be finalizing the sale of Buckner Circle and the Capehart Housing, which is the housing that you see along Highway 21. We're excited about that opportunity. And when we do that, I think you'll start to see a lot of -- you'll see a lot of construction happening.

We've been working, closely keeping up with what's going on in the eastern bypass and the work that's going on there. I don't know about the Army's phone, but our phone has been ringing about who's cutting those trees and why. Our answer is progress. I don't like to see the trees cut, either,

1	but this is something this community has waited on for
2	a long time. So, I think that's all for JPA.
3	DR. BARRY COX: Where are we on
4	privatization, Miki?
5	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Privatization
6	was on hold, just as everything else was, until the
7	lawsuit, until we had finalized all of that.
8	Set a meeting up today, as a matter
9	of fact, with our lawyers from Boston. And they'll be
10	coming down here the first week in May. And we're
11	going to get back on track with that.
12	DR. BARRY COX: What about ALDOT,
13	are they on track as far as when the environmental
14	if the bypass, whenever it's finished with
15	environmental issues, are they ready to phase in then
16	or does it still look like a hold on that?
17	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Everything I
18	know Paul may know more or Ron everything I
19	know, ALDOT's where they need to be. Do y'all know
20	something I don't know?
21	MR. RON LEVY: No. We still hold
22	monthly meetings with them. We continue to coordinate

23 --

1	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Right.
2	MR. RON LEVY: our clean-up and
3	our efforts. They're out there they've been out
4	there doing coring and sampling and we've tried to
5	work around that so that they can get in and do what
6	they need from a geophysical standpoint. We issued
7	them a right of entry for the tract one property.
8	In fact, I'm going to let Bill talk
9	about a little bit about some of this stuff in just
10	a moment here, in terms of the EE/CAs, which is
11	inclusive of the eastern bypass, if you just want to
12	wait.
13	DR. BARRY COX: Sure.
14	MR. RON LEVY: But the coordination
15	is ongoing. In reference to the action summary sheet,
16	which is on the agenda are we ready to move on?
17	DR. BARRY COX: No, no. We need to
18	see if anybody else had a question.
19	MR. RON LEVY: Okay.
20	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else have a
21	question of the JPA? Thank you, Miki.
22	Okay, go ahead on. The action
23	summary sheet then.

1	MR. RON LEVY: Well, Karen already
2	briefed the draft interim LUCIP for the training area
3	T-38. And all of you should have gotten copies of
4	that in the mail. The action summary sheet actually
5	states that we're looking for comments by the 28th of
6	April. Is that the date, Karen?
7	MS. KAREN PINSON: 23rd.
8	MR. RON LEVY: Excuse me. 23rd of
9	April?
10	MS. KAREN PINSON: Yeah, 23rd.
11	MR. RON LEVY: So, if any member of
12	the RAB has comments, please, send them in. We've
13	already received EPA's reply. And they've concurred
14	with the document
15	MS. KAREN PINSON: Yes.
16	MR. RON LEVY: as it is. So, if
17	anybody else has any comments, just let us know.
18	OE EE/CA, Bill Shanks, who has been
19	involved in reviewing of the EE/CAs, is going to talk
20	to you a little bit about the EE/CAs. If you would,
21	Bill, bring that map up or you can talk off the one on
22	the wall.
23	MR. BILL SHANKS: There is a hard

	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE NOBLE & ASSOCIATES 46
1	copy of the same map over on the wall, some of you may
2	be able to see better.
3	Karen mentioned that we had five
4	EE/CAs. And that's what we actually have is five
5	EE/CAs. One is already the EE/CA itself, has been
6	completed. And that's for the eastern bypass.
7	What I am showing on the slide is
8	tract one, which is this portion (demonstrating)
9	of the eastern bypass; tract two, which is the little,
10	yellow section in between the room; and then tract
11	three, which is the light green.
12	The reason why we're showing those
13	as three different sections, as three different tracts
14	is, when we got into the EE/CA in the analysis, they
15	decided that basically tract one didn't require any
16	removal action. So, we've proceeded on with a
17	transfer action to ALDOT so they can go ahead and get
18	started on construction.
19	Right now, we've done what they
20	call a finding of suitability to transfer or a FOST.
21	That's at TRADOC AG right now, waiting for TRADOC to

sign it. And once that's done, it will go to Mobile

and then they can transfer the property.

22

1	We've already given ALDOT the right
2	of entry, we call it, so they can go ahead and start
3	work on tract one. And as of last week, they had
4	received bids for the what they call the clearing
5	and grubbing portion of the tract one. And that also
6	includes putting a fence around it.
7	And they said the first thing they
8	were going to do was putting a fence up. And that
9	would probably take about thirty days. And then they
10	will get into actual clearing and grubbing of that
11	area.
12	On tract two and tract three, we
13	had identified tract two as a high priority area for
14	the JPA, because of their need for access to get to
15	the other parcels and other locations where they were
16	going to be transferring property. So, we're going to
17	be trying to move that along.
18	Right now, we're looking at
19	probably starting the field work for the actual
20	removal about the middle of June for tract two and
21	tract three.
22	The pink or the maroon that's on
23	either side of the eastern bypass, right around

1	Summerall Gate, is a separate EE/CA. That's the one
2	we call the M-101. We're not basically going to have
3	to go through the full process on the M-101 because of
4	the previous work that's been done in that area. And
5	we're proceeding on to do an EE/CA report on it. And
6	we expect to have the EE/CA report by the middle of
7	May for the M-101 parcel.
8	Alpha, which is the reddish area,
9	includes M-5, which is real property, or the property
10	transfer designation includes M-5, M-6, and M-8. Most
11	of you are probably not able to specifically identify
12	it, but that those go along with the real property
13	transfers.
14	The UXO contractor has started the
15	EE/CA work in that area. It began that about the 12th
16	of March. And I think we expect to finish that about
17	the end of June.
18	The blue area is the Bravo EE/CA.
19	And we expect to start that this week and then we'll
20	finish some time around the end of August, September
21	time frame, as far as the EE/CA goes.
22	MR. RON LEVY: For those of you

that don't know, the EE/CA is just the investigative

1	piece now
2	MR. BILL SHANKS: Right.
3	MR. RON LEVY: it's not the
4	clearance.
5	MR. BILL SHANKS: Right. What
6	they'll do basically in the EE/CA is what we call
7	characterize the site, identify what kind of UXO is
8	there, look at the risks, do a cost analysis, select
9	or recommend an alternative, and then this will go
10	forward for approval that this is the alternative that
11	the Army wants to proceed with on this area.
12	Once they've done that, then we
13	have to go back and prepare work plans for the actual
14	removal.
15	MR. RON LEVY: And as I pointed out
16	before, those are open to public scrutiny and will be
17	briefed for the RAB, once we complete the EE/CA and
18	define what we think our remedies are going to be in
19	that area.
20	MR. BILL SHANKS: The green area is
21	what we're calling the Charlie area EE/CA. It's

primarily the Fish & Wildlife Service area. It also

goes over and picks up the areas in the Choccollocco

22

1	corridor where we have some work to do, and the area
2	that was formerly leased from the State, there is some
3	actual installation boundary is this line.
4	(Demonstrating). And this falls within the
5	Choccollocco corridor. And there is some isolated
6	places, four, that are further on out into the
7	corridor. Not really expecting to find any type of
8	heavy UXO there. That would be something minor, but
9	we still need to investigate it and determine what we
10	need to do, if anything.
11	Expect to finish the field work on
12	that around the end of January of 2001 or '2, should
13	be.
14	MR. RON LEVY: Go on back to the
15	eastern bypass, the area that Bill mentioned that's
16	tract three. Bill, do you want to point that back out
17	again?
18	MR. BILL SHANKS: That's the middle
19	portion.
20	MR. RON LEVY: Right. Final
21	clearance on that that means we're ready to
22	transfer property is projected to May, to be
23	completed in May of 2002, 2002. So, that's, you know,

1	over a year away. And that's what we've told ALDOT.
2	Actual transfer is August based on getting through
3	the real estate piece, we're talking about August of
4	2002. So, that's again, that's over a year away
5	from in terms of getting to the clearance on that.
6	We can't give you dates on final
7	clearance for the Alpha, which is the red; the Bravo,
8	which is the blue; and the Charlie, which is the Fish
9	& Wildlife area, C, until we can get through the
10	characterization and be able to say what it is we're
11	going to clear. And also, finish up on the M-101
12	piece, which is that magenta color. Is that what it
13	is
14	MR. BILL SHANKS: Yeah.
15	MR. RON LEVY: up there?
16	MR. BILL SHANKS: Yes.
17	MR. RON LEVY: But that is our UXO
18	program, covering a lot of area and separate EE/CAs.
19	And those EE/CAs were really designed along the line
20	of what the JPA wanted in around the cantonment around
21	from a priority standpoint.
22	DR. BARRY COX: Any questions?
23	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Let me

1	ask a question: One thing that kind of concerns me
2	that might not be as we see this in process, if we
3	turned to privatization of the clearing, then is this
4	going to continue in this manner or are we going to
5	have to stop the process as it goes and then transfer
6	it over to JPA and then start over, as far as
7	contracts with private contractors to do this and all
8	like this?
9	MR. JOE DOYLE: We don't know the
10	answer to that question. We're waiting for the
11	proposal to be submitted by the JPA, so we really
12	don't know what they're proposing to do and how much
13	or all of Fort McClellan that their proposal is
14	going to encompass.
15	DR. BARRY COX: Comment, Miki?
16	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: That's right.
17	You did a good job, Doyle.
18	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Well, it
19	concerns me if it does delay any progress that we're
20	making.
21	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Mayor,
22	obviously, progress is why we're even looking at this
23	and we will not do anything that is going to cause us

1	to delay anything.	Our g	goal :	is t	o move	faster	on
2	everything.						

MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I just know when we transferred from the last organization to the new organization, you know, we kind of started all over with some of the process, as far as the plan, the economic development plan and everything, we kind of redid that, and with the personnel, you know, changes and everything, that caused some natural delays. And that's -- you know, that -- I think that's what we've all seen. And I know -- I understand there is some bases that have gone to that, but that's one of my concerns.

MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: And part of the delay that you saw back then was the fact that this base was still operating and was not closed and nothing could take place, property couldn't be sold, property could not be transferred out of the Army's hands, because it had not been cleared and it was still an active military base until September 30 of '99.

I came on board in July of '99.

So, you know, there was a period in there where --

1	between the old organization and the new organization,
2	when it wouldn't have mattered if it had been one or
3	the other, we were still an active training base and
4	we weren't going to be the community was not going
5	to be able to get the property.
6	But our goal in this is to make
7	things move quicker. We give the standard response my
8	board gives to that they give to me when we say,
9	why should we do this? What are the pluses? What are
10	the minuses?
11	They go to M-2. And although the
12	Army and the EPA and ADEM did everything they could to
13	move that process along at break-neck speed, it was
14	almost a year on the twenty-three acre piece. And,
15	you know, understanding everything they did, the
16	politicians that I work for say, that's too long.
17	We've got to move this process.
18	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else have a
19	question?
20	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I would like to
21	some time not tonight but some time, you know,
22	have a little bit better understanding presented to us
23	on the difference. You know, I have a lots of

1	questions. Will ADEM, EPA, will they still be
2	involved? Will they still be subjected to the reviews
3	as we're having now? To the plans that we're having
4	now?
5	You know, I have a lots of
6	questions about that, because, you know, I've got some
7	concerns. I don't oppose it and I don't support it,
8	at this time, because I think there is a lots of
9	questions out there that I've got concerns about
10	the RAB. Are they going to have and I've talked to
11	you about that, Miki. Are they going to have an
12	advisory committee like this with the citizens
13	involved? Will that continue?
14	So, I don't think we have time
15	tonight, but I would like, Ron, for us to have some
16	discussion on what that would consist of, so that
17	maybe we could have a little bit better understanding
18	of what
19	DR. BARRY COX: There are a lot of
20	people, experts we could bring in, that could tell us
21	how it was done at other bases, how it worked, the
22	pluses and the minuses. Why don't we think about

scheduling a meeting when Miki think's it's

1	appropriate, schedule a meeting, and we'll devote the
2	entire meeting to bringing some experts from outside
3	and be my suggestion that you discuss privatization.
4	Would that be
5	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: That's fine.
6	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I don't
7	know. They might not that's a concern of mine. If
8	the RAB doesn't that's what I would like to see.
9	DR. BARRY COX: And we could ask
10	that to be one of the requirements, if indeed
11	privatization occurs, that a citizen's advisory group
12	still remain in place.
13	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: And it would.
14	DR. BARRY COX: Donna?
15	MS. DONNA FATHKE: Quick question,
16	I hope.
17	In the red area and the green area
18	there are some little white places. Does that mean
19	they are known to be clean?
20	MR. BILL SHANKS: This is an area
21	that the National Guard has. This is the CDTF that
22	D.O.T. has.
23	MR. RON LEVY: They're federally

- 1 retained.
- 2 MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Do you want to
- 3 clean that up, Barry?
- 4 DR. BARRY COX: Let's nix that
- 5 puppy right there.
- MS. DONNA FATHKE: The area by the
- 7 part of the green, right there, yeah.
- 8 MR. BILL SHANKS: That's CWM.
- 9 MR. RON LEVY: Some of that will
- 10 also go --
- 11 MR. BILL SHANKS: Some of it will
- 12 be covered in UXO. But basically why that's shown
- 13 white there is it's CWM, chemical warfare material.
- 14 MR. RON LEVY: It was one of the
- sites we briefed at the last RAB meeting on the CWM
- 16 work. In all actuality, the site's a lot smaller than
- 17 what you see there, in terms of what we're
- 18 investigating from CWM. But we will pick up the rest
- 19 of it from an OE or ordnance and explosive standpoint
- or UXO standpoint. So, it will be covered.
- 21 I think it's the uniqueness to the
- 22 GIS system, in terms of how it printed it out. But it
- 23 will be covered.

1	DR. BARRY COX: Philip, do you have
2	a comment?
3	MR. PHILIP STROUD: No, I was just
4	more along the lines of what Mayor Kimbrough was
5	saying.
6	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else?
7	DR. BARRY COX: Okay, Ron, what's
8	next?
9	MR. RON LEVY: Well, Bill, did you
10	want to talk a little bit about the work plans for the
11	Bravo area EE/CA? That was also listed on the
12	actually, we covered that.
13	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: We did.
14	MR. RON LEVY: We might could talk
15	a little bit about the timber that was removed. Miki
16	pointed that out, that she's been getting a lot of
17	calls.
18	The Army has in fact let a
19	contract, and the contractor has been out there
20	working on timber removal for about two hundred and
21	eighty acres, which is in that tract three and the
22	tract two parcels up there.
23	And the reason the timber is being

1	removed, it's really the intent is not for purposes
2	of the bypass construction, it's for our clean-up.
3	And that's what came out in the newspaper. It's to
4	allow us to get in there and do the geophysical work
5	we need, because the trees present a problem. So, we
6	had to remove the timber. And the Army let a
7	contract.
8	I think the good news to what was
9	said, came out in the newspaper, and I believe over
10	the radio, was that the dollars associated with that
11	are not lost to the community. 40 percent of those
12	dollars actually come back to the community, as it has
13	been historically on McClellan, as it does on the
14	Guard side, as it does on what's left of Fort
15	McClellan, the active side, 40 percent. And I think
16	it was what was the figure, two hundred and twenty
17	
18	MR. JAMES BUFORD: Two hundred and
19	twenty thousand.
20	MR. RON LEVY: Yeah, actually goes
21	back for the county for use in schools and roads and
22	whatnot. So, I think that's an important point.

People think that that's a loss of revenue, it's not,

1	necessarily.
2	The rest of the dollars go to
3	support the contracting efforts to pay for the timber
4	removal and any other oversight that's needed to
5	ensure that they meet best management practices, so,
6	that they're not just denuding the area.
7	DR. BARRY COX: Any comments?
8	Joan, do you want to go to the new member selection
9	for us, please, ma'am?
10	MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Yes, thank you.
11	We have one vacancy on the RAB. And we have
12	currently, in our applicant pool, three names. Two
13	are engineers from Solutia, also citizens in the
14	community, and one is Colonel Matteson.
15	I would encourage all of you to try
16	to find us a few more applicants. I would like to
17	keep about a half a dozen in the files and then
18	present them all to you at the same time, much like we
19	did the last time, where you can vote on a couple of

folks at one time. And then the number one person

would be the one to be the immediate replacement, the

number two person would be then the person on standby,

as soon as we have another vacancy. And I dare say

20

21

22

DR. BARRY COX: What is the

Comments of the RAB? What is your feeling on that?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I agree with it.

MS. DONNA FATHKE: Wholeheartedly.

MS. JOAN McKINNEY: Okay. Thank

you.

DR. BARRY COX: Appreciate it.

1	Any other new business that we need
2	to address?
3	At this point then, we'll go to the
4	audience comments. Do we have any audience comments?
5	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: My name is
6	Wanda Champion. I've got a question that brings us
7	back to when he was talking about the eastern bypass.
8	And since some of y'all are talking to members of the
9	Department of Transportation, I live down in Oxford
10	and travel a lot on Coldwater Pump Road. And this
11	might be something that could be brought up with the
12	eastern bypass with the possibility to take into
13	account, because in other words, there is Turner
14	Road that goes out to Highway 202, okay. And like I
15	told Jennifer McCullers with the Anniston Star, is
16	that the fact, why did Alabama D.O.T. State Highway
17	Department and Calhoun County not come together and
18	have somebody go out and ride Turner Road and see how
19	it's tore up on the edges.
20	They went out and patched it up,
21	since people have started riding it with the detours.
22	So, to keep things like this from happening with the
23	eastern bypass going through, this needs to be

1	something to be looked at and brought up. In other
2	words, when the eastern bypass starts going through
3	with roadways that they would be detours.
4	So, my point here is, is the reason
5	why I'm bringing it up, is that any of y'all and
6	everybody goes different places and you talk to
7	different people is the fact that with
8	Calhoun County needing money and I guess this would
9	probably go to Miki with the JPA, since you probably
10	deal with a lot of people with the Calhoun County
11	Commission. The Calhoun County Commission needing
12	money, then therefore with the State Highway
13	Department being the one basically why the road was
14	closed, then why did they not get together and help
15	repave this road to benefit the people of Calhoun
16	County, instead of waiting until the road was actually
17	closed for to tear the bridge out and put the
18	detour sign up?
19	Now, people are having to travel on
20	a road that has got potholes and bad shoulders on it.
21	So, in other words, I wanted to bring this up because
22	this is something that I'm having her check into and
23	possibly a future story in the Anniston Star.

1	DR. BARRY COX: But does this have
2	any relevance, I guess, I'm asking, to
3	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: Yes. To the
4	eastern bypass.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Fort McClellan
6	bypass?
7	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: To the eastern
8	bypass.
9	DR. BARRY COX: Is that type of
10	situation going to occur here?
11	MR. BOB DAFFRON: No roads.
12	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: No roads.
13	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: What I'm
14	saying is: The eastern bypass, in other words, the
15	whole, from point A to point B.
16	DR. BARRY COX: But it doesn't
17	cross any roads.
18	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: The western
19	bypass she's talking about.
20	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: I'm talking
21	about like coming from Oxford across Golden Springs,
22	coming through. My point for making this statement is
23	the fact that a lot of y'all here and myself talk to

coming through Fort McClellan is completely

irrelevant. It's just that we've got to clean up.

And if your comment is related to

21

22

1	the clean-up of Fort McClellan and the eastern bypass,
2	then it would be appropriate. But this RAB's not
3	responsible for discussing or going through other
4	issues outside of the environmental restoration.
5	That's the purpose of this RAB.
6	You're getting into something
7	that's completely out of the realm of what we're here
8	for in the first place.
9	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: Okay. Then
10	let me ask you another question. You mentioned
11	something awhile ago about the landfill number three.
12	Is it to my understanding that y'all are in the
13	process of cleaning up landfill number three, that was
14	discussed at a former RAB meeting?
15	MR. RON LEVY: It's to your
16	understanding that we are addressing landfill number
17	three. It will be defined in terms of a remedy in an
18	EE/CA that's upcoming. But that's not been presented
19	to the community, other than to say that we are
20	investigating and will define what we believe to be a
21	remedy for landfill number three.
22	At that point, once we do define
23	it, then it will be open to discussion as to what we

1	intend the remedy to be, to the RAB and to the rest of
2	the community. But we haven't said that, yet. It's
3	coming.
4	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: Okay.
5	MR. RON LEVY: That would be an
6	appropriate question. That does fit in with what
7	we're dealing with.
8	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: Another thing
9	that I have heard mentioned is the cap, the capping.
10	Okay. Could you possibly explain that to me and
11	others that are here?
12	MR. RON LEVY: Well, again, we
13	haven't presented any information really into those
14	landfills. That I will say that the cap is a
15	consideration we're looking at. But short of saying
16	specifically what we've defined, yet, for those
17	landfills, I can't do that until we've concluded, you
18	know, our reviews within the Army and externally with
19	the EPA before we present it to the community for
20	their discussion and input.
21	MS. WANDA CHAMPION: So that would

MR. RON LEVY: As I did mention to

22 be --

- you, though, that is a consideration. 1
- 2 MS. WANDA CHAMPION: So, there will
- be future briefings and stuff about the landfill? 3
- MR. RON LEVY: Yes, ma'am, there
- 5 sure will be.
- MS. WANDA CHAMPION: Okay.
- 7 DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else have a
- 8 question? Do I hear --
- 9 MS. WANDA CHAMPION: I've got
- 10 another one here that was not addressed or whatever,
- 11 that was brought up. But I've got a question about,
- too, the thing about the zoning of the city -- and the 12
- 13 City of Anniston and so forth here.
- 14 DR. BARRY COX: But is that an
- 15 environmental issue?
- 16 MR. RON LEVY: Can you tell me what
- your question is and I'll tell you whether or not it's 17
- 18 related to what --
- 19 MS. WANDA CHAMPION: Does it have
- 20 to be an environmental issue?
- DR. BARRY COX: Yes, for it to come 21
- before this board, yes, it does. 22
- 23 MS. WANDA CHAMPION: I'll take it

1	under consideration, put it that way.
2	DR. BARRY COX: Okay. Any other
3	questions? Do I hear a motion for adjournment?
4	MR. FERN THOMASSY: So moved.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Second.
6	MS. DONNA FATHKE: Second.
7	DR. BARRY COX: All in favor?
8	Meeting adjourned.
9	(WHEREUPON, the meeting was adjourned.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)
3	CALHOUN COUNTY )
4	
5	I, SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State of
7	Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified,
8	HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before
9	me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards
10	transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is
11	a true and correct transcript of the proceeding to the
12	best of my ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding
14	was taken at the time and place and was concluded
15	without adjournment.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

7	1
/	Τ

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
2	set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston, Alabama,
3	on this the 23rd of April, 2001.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE
10	Notary Public in and for
11	Alabama at Large
12	
13	
14	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 11-14-2001.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	